Please cite as: Wahjudi, P. (2014). Privacy Protection in the Digital Age. Marshall University. Wahjudi, P. (2014). Healthcase.gov: A Software Engineering Case Study. Marshall University.

## Assignment grading rubric

Each assignment is graded based off five criteria (refer to the Grading Rubric for details):

- Paper Clarity and Flow
- Assignment Content and Direction
- Technical Issue Explained
- Stakeholders Identified and Values at Stake Explained
- Conclusion and Justification of Position

## Assignment Grading Rubric

	Inadequate (1 pt)	Needs Improvement (2 pts)	Adequate (3 pts)	Excellent (4 pts)
Paper Clarity and Flow	There appears to be no organization of the essay's contents.	Organization of the essay is difficult to follow due to a combination of inadequate transitions and a rambling format.	The essay can easily be followed. A combination of the following is apparent: Basic transitions are used. A structured format is used.	The essay can easily be followed. A combination of the following is apparent: Effective transitions are used. A polished format is used.
Assignment Content and Direction	The paper has no apparent relation to the directions of the assignment.	Some parts of the paper follow the directions.	Most parts of the paper follow the directions.	The paper follows the directions precisely. (i.e. the sections are labeled, directions for finding the article are clear, all required information, etc.)
Technical Issue Explained	Names the technical issue, but technical issue is not explained.	Attempts to explain the technical issue, but is misleading or inaccurate.	Technical details are accurate, but either incomplete or rambling.	Technical explanation is both concise and complete in technical explanation. Leads gracefully into ethical discussion.
Stakeholders	Does not identify	Specifies either who	Specifies who is	Specifies who is

Identified and	who is impacted	is impacted by the	impacted by the	impacted by the
Values at Stake	1	,	'	
Explained	by the ethical	ethical dilemma OR	ethical dilemma	ethical dilemma
	dilemma or how	how they are	AND how they	AND how they are
	they are impacted.	impacted, but not	are impacted.	impacted. Clearly
	Does not explain	both. Attempts to	Attempts to	explains the
	the values at	explain the values	explain the	important values
	stake.	at stake, but misses	values at stakes,	at stake and why
		the mark.	but leaves out	they are ethically
			important points.	significant.
Conclusion and Justification of Position	Does not pick a	Picks a position, but	Picks and tries to	Essay provides a
	position.	does not justify it.	justify position;	persuasive
			Argument is not	argument that
			convincing OR a	clearly supports
			convincing	the position. Even
			justification is	a reader who
			given, that has	disagreed with the
			nothing to do	position before
			with the analysis	finds her/himself
			stated.	thinking about the
				issue more
				carefully.