Please cite as: Koehn, V. (2016). Small group and team communication final group project. Oregon Institute of Technology.

SPE 321—Small Group and Team Communication
Final Group Project—Paper Rubric
Due on the Last Day of Class Worth 300 Points

Cor

| Content (250 c | of 300 points) |
|----------------|---|
| | ining the Observed Group (15 points possible) Group information, including its purpose and roles, is explained. Details, including examples and vivid descriptions, are used in a way that helps the group "come alive" for the reader (i.e., description allows reader to understand the group enough to totally follow the forthcoming analysis). 15 points Group information, including its purpose and roles, is explained. Details are present, but, due to a lack of vividness, it is hard for the reader to understand every detail of the group. 10-14 points The group information is explained, though purpose or roles is not fully explained. Details are present and help the reader understand the group. 6-9 points Group information, including purpose and roles, is explained, but very superficially (i.e., details are severely lacking, which makes it hard to follow the forthcoming analysis). 2-5 points Group information not given. Assignment objective not met. 0 points |
| 0 | ining the Observation Patterns (10 points possible) Observation patterns, including information on how the group was chosen, where the observations took place, when the observations took place, and information on who observed when is presented. 10 points Some observation pattern information (listed above) is present, but some is missing. 2-8 points, depending on how much information is given |
| | No observation information given. Assignment objective not met. 0 points ing How the Group Converses (45 points possible) nation of Conversation Analysis Principles (21 points possible) Three conversation analysis concepts are discussed correctly and completely, such that someone who is unfamiliar with the idea could follow along; an outside academic source is incorporated into the discussion; correct APA documentation is used where needed. 21 points CA concept 1 is addressed correctly and completely, such that someone who is unfamiliar with the idea could follow along; an outside academic source is incorporated into the discussion. 6 |
| | CA concept 2 is addressed correctly and completely, such that someone who is unfamiliar with the idea could follow along; an outside academic source is incorporated into the discussion. 6 points CA concept 3 is addressed correctly and completely, such that someone who is unfamiliar with the idea could follow along; an outside academic source is incorporated into the discussion. 6 points Correct APA format is used throughout the section. 3 points |
| • | Three conversation analysis concepts are discussed correctly and completely, but someone unfamiliar with the concepts could have a hard time following along. Correct APA documentation is used where needed. 10-20 points CA concept 1 score: CA concept 2 score: CA concept 3 score: APA format score: |
| 0 | Three conversation analysis concepts are discussed, but the discussions are incorrect and/or incomplete or, academic sources not used. APA documentation may or may not be used. 2-8 points. CA concept 1 score: CA concept 2 score: CA concept 3 score: |

| | APA format score: | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 0 | Conversation analysis discussion not present or completely wrong—assignment objective not met. 0 points | | | | |
| Analys | sis of Conversation Patterns (24 points possible) | | | | |
| | The three conversation analysis principles are used to analyze the conversations in the group; the analysis helps the readers make better sense of the observations and how the CA concepts relate to it. CA concept 1 analysis score (8 possible): CA concept 2 analysis score (8 possible): CA concept 3 analysis score (8 possible): | | | | |
| 0 | Thorough application/analysis of theory/concept as it relates to the example, though the analysis' depth is not as strong as the 24 point one, leaving certain parts of the observations/analysis a bit unclear to the reader. (10-22 points) CA concept 1 analysis score: CA concept 2 analysis score: CA concept 3 analysis score: | | | | |
| 0 | Very detailed explanation, but not analytical. (5-9 points) CA concept 1 analysis score: CA concept 2 analysis score: CA concept 3 analysis score: | | | | |
| 0 | Analysis not present or completely wrong—assignment objective not met. 0 points | | | | |
| Part III: Analyzi | ing What the Group Does Well (40 points possible) | | | | |
| Explan | ation of Positive Aspects of Group Communication (20 points possible) | | | | |
| 0 | Two group communication concepts that the group does well are discussed correctly and completely, such that someone who is unfamiliar with the idea could follow along; an outside academic source is incorporated into the discussion; correct APA documentation is used where needed. 20 points Positive group communication concept 1 is addressed correctly and completely, such that someone who is unfamiliar with the idea could follow along; an outside academic source is incorporated into the discussion. 8 points Positive group communication concept 2 is addressed correctly and completely, such that someone who is unfamiliar with the idea could follow along; an outside academic source is incorporated into the discussion. 8 points Correct APA format is used throughout the section. 4 points | | | | |
| 0 | Two group communication concepts that the group does well are discussed correctly and completely, but someone unfamiliar with the concepts could have a hard time following along. Correct APA documentation is used where needed. 10-18 points Positive group communication concept 1 score: Positive group communication concept 2 score: APA format score: | | | | |
| 0 | Two group communication concepts that the group does well are discussed, but the discussions are incorrect and/or incomplete; or, academic sources not used. APA documentation may or may not be used. 2-8 points. Positive group communication concept 1 score: Positive group communication concept 2 score: APA format score: | | | | |
| 0 | Positive group communication discussion not present or completely wrong—assignment objective not met. 0 points | | | | |
| Analys | is of Positive Group Communication Patterns (20 points possible) | | | | |

SPE 321 Final Paper Rubric 2

| | helps the readers make better sense of the observations and how the course concepts relate to it. Positive group communication concept 1 analysis score (10 possible): Positive group communication concept 2 analysis score (10 possible): |
|----------------------------|---|
| 0 | Thorough application/analysis of theory/concept as it relates to the example, though the analysis' depth is not as strong as the 20 point one, leaving certain parts of the observations/analysis a bit unclear to the reader. (8-18 points) Positive group communication concept 1 analysis score: Positive group communication concept 2 analysis score: |
| 0 | Very detailed explanation, but not analytical. (3-7 points) Positive group communication concept 1 analysis score: Positive group communication concept 2 analysis score: |
| 0 | Analysis not present or completely wrong—assignment objective not met. 0 points |
| Explan | ing What the Group Needs to Improve (40 points possible) ation of Aspects of Group Communication that Need Improvement (20 points possible) Two group communication concepts that the group needs to improve are discussed correctly and completely, such that someone who is unfamiliar with the idea could follow along; an outside academic source is incorporated into the discussion; correct APA documentation is used where needed. 20 points "Needs to improve" group communication concept 1 is addressed correctly and completely, such that someone who is unfamiliar with the idea could follow along; an outside academic source is incorporated into the discussion. 8 points "Needs to improve" group communication concept 2 is addressed correctly and completely, such that someone who is unfamiliar with the idea could follow along; an outside academic source is incorporated into the discussion. 8 points Correct APA format is used throughout the section. 4 points |
| 0 | Two group communication concepts that the group needs to improve are discussed correctly and completely, but someone unfamiliar with the concepts could have a hard time following along. Correct APA documentation is used where needed. 10-18 points "Needs to improve" group communication concept 1 score: "Needs to improve" group communication concept 2 score: APA format score: |
| 0 | Two group communication concepts that the group needs to improve are discussed, but the discussions are incorrect and/or incomplete; or, academic sources not used. APA documentation may or may not be used. 2-8 points. "Needs to improve" concept 1 score: "Needs to improve" concept 2 score: APA format score: |
| 0 | "Needs to improve" group communication discussion not present or completely wrong—assignment objective not met. 0 points |
| Analys | is of Aspects of Group Communication that Need Improvement (20 points possible) |
| 0 | Two group communication concepts are used to <i>analyze</i> how the group needs to work on their communication; the analysis helps the readers make better sense of the observations and how the course concepts relate to it. "Needs improvement" group communication concept 1 analysis score (10 possible): "Needs improvement" group communication concept 2 analysis score (10 possible): |
| 0 | Thorough application/analysis of theory/concept as it relates to the example, though the analysis' depth is not as strong as the 20 point one, leaving certain parts of the observations/analysis a bit unclear to the reader. (8-18 points) |

Two group communication concepts are used to analyze how the group communicates well; the analysis

| | "Needs improvement" group communication concept 1 analysis score: "Needs improvement" group communication concept 2 analysis score: |
|------------------------------|---|
| 0 | Very detailed explanation, but not analytical. (3-7 points) |
| | "Needs improvement" group communication concept 1 analysis score: |
| | "Needs improvement" group communication concept 2 analysis score: |
| 0 | Analysis not present or completely wrong—assignment objective not met. 0 points |
| Part V: Propos | ing Solutions (100 points possible) |
| Creative | ve Solution Section (60 points possible) |
| 0 | Based on the analysis of the positive and not-so-positive aspects of the group's communication, solutions are provided that use the positive aspects of the group's communication to address and help deal with the not-so-positives aspects. The solution is creative and workable. 60 points |
| 0 | Based on the analysis of the positive and not-so-positive aspects of the group's communication, solutions are provided that use the positive aspects of the group's communication to address and help deal with the not-so-positive aspects. The solution is workable; however, it is somewhat obvious/not as creative as the |
| 0 | full credit idea. 40-55 points |
| | 20-38 points |
| 0 | The solutions offered do not illustrate creativity or critical thinking, nor do they address the strengths of the group's communication; very superficial ideas. 8-15 points. |
| 0 | No solutions offered or solutions are totally wrong—assignment objective not met. 0 points |
| Solution | on Rationale Section (40 points possible) |
| 0 | The ways in which the proposed solution(s) will benefit the group are clearly addressed; the authors offer |
| 0 | clear examples of the ways in which the proposed solutions will mediate the issues facing the group. The authors show why their solutions are the best way to help with the issues facing the group, including showing how the strengths of the group really illustrate why the solution works. (While it is not required, the authors may address a timeline of how long it will take for the solution to work.) 40 points The ways in which the proposed solution(s) will benefit the group are clearly addressed, though they may not be as clear as the 40 point ones; the authors offer examples of the ways in which the proposed solutions will mediate the issues facing the group, though the examples may not be as strong as the 40 point ones. The authors show how the strengths of the group really illustrate why the solution works. (While it is not required, the authors may address a timeline of how long it will take for the solution to work.) 25-38 points |
| 0 | The ways in which the solutions will benefit the group are addressed, though rather superficially. The authors offer examples of the ways in which the solution will help the group, though the examples are somewhat superficial. Strengths of the group and the role of those strengths in the proposed solution |
| 0 | may or may not be addressed. (Timeline may or may not be included.) 10-22 points Superficial solutions are offered, though they are not flushed out via examples or detail. Strengths of |
| 0 | group either not addressed, not tied to the solution, or tied in very superficially. 5-9 points. No rationale offered. Assignment objective not met. 0 points |
| Grammar Med | chanics, Spelling, Essay Structure Points (50 of 300 points) |
| | uction (15 points possible) |
| | First line of the essay really draws the reader in via a compelling quote, a brief anecdote/example, a startling fact, or the like. 3 points possible i. First line draws the reader in, though not thoroughly; could do a better job grabbing attention. 1-2 |
| b. | points ii. No opening line—jumps right in to thesis. 0 points Thesis statement clearly shows the argument being put forth; it is used to make a definite argumentative |
| D. | claim and to give the paper direction. 6 points possible i. Thesis statement makes a claim, though the argument is difficult to see. 2-4 points |

| | | ii. | It is possible to see direction, though no clear argumentative claim is present. 1-2 points. |
|-------|---------|-----------|---|
| | | iii | No semblance of a thesis statement. 0 points |
| | С | Plan of | development lists or shows the way the essay will progress in the body in good rhetorical style. 6 |
| | 0. | | possible |
| | | | Plan of development is present and shows the way the essay will progress, but it is written in a |
| | | •• | choppy manner. 4-5 points |
| | | ii. | Plan of development is partially present, though points prove to be missing from it or it proves to |
| | | | |
| | | iii. | be in the wrong order. 1-2 points No plan of development present. 0 points |
| 2. | Body (1 | | possible) |
| | | | aragraph contains a clear topic sentence. 3 points possible |
| | | | Some contain a clear topic sentence, but not all. 1-2 points possible |
| | | | |
| | b. | Every s | No topic sentences used. 0 points possibleentence in the paragraph fits with the topic sentence, giving each paragraph unity and coherence. |
| | | | possible |
| | | i. | Some paragraphs have unity and coherence, but others do not. 1-4 points possible |
| | | ii. | No obvious unity and coherence to paragraphs. 0 points |
| | C. | The par | ragraphs follow the order outlined in the plan of development. 2 points possible |
| | | i. | Some paragraphs follow order, but some do not. 1 point |
| | | ii. | No real order to paragraphs, at least not as the order was laid out in the plan of development. 0 |
| | | | points |
| 3. | | | oints possible) |
| | a. | | provide a summary of the thesis. 2 points possible |
| | | | Summary of the thesis is incomplete. 1 point |
| | | | No obvious summary of thesis. 0 points |
| | b. | | provide a summary of the main points. 2 points possible |
| | | | Summary of main points incomplete. 1 point |
| | • | | No obvious summary of main points. 0 points |
| | C. | Authors | provide a last line that does not leave the reader hanging/wanting more. 1 point possible |
| | | i. | Authors leave the reader hanging/wanting more. 0 points |
| 4 | ΔΡΔ το | ferences | (10 points) |
| ٦. | | | tside sources, in addition to course texts, if used, are properly cited both in-text (3 of 10 points) |
| | u. | | he reference list (7 of 10 points). 10 points possible |
| | b. | | of the sources, though not all, are properly cited in text and in the reference list (credit awarded for |
| | | | nat are right). 1-9 points |
| | C. | | mat not used correctly or not used at all. 0 points (plus an academic warning regarding |
| | | plagiaris | |
| 5. | | | nts come from grammar and spelling issues. You will lose one point for very 2 errors, not to |
| | exceed | 10 point | s. Total awarded out of 10 |
| TOTAL | .: | /3 | 300 |