Please cite as: Ball, T. C. (2016). The digital storytelling/8 key questions assignment. James Madison University.

| icasc                          | cite as. | Ball, T. C. (2016). The digital storytelling/8 key questions assignment  |    | iviadison Oniversity. |
|--------------------------------|----------|--|----|-----------------------|
|                                | 1        | Digital Storytelling Rubric Group: Name                                  |    |                       |
|                                | Α        | Superior identification of key concepts & terms that describes           | 15 |                       |
|                                |          | information need; always defines & modifies information need to          |    |                       |
|                                |          | achieve manageable focus; consistently recognizes existing information   |    |                       |
|                                |          | can be combined with original thought and/or analysis.                   |    |                       |
|                                | В        | Exceptional identification of key concepts & terms that describes        | 13 |                       |
| ıts)                           |          | information need; defines & modifies information need to achieve         |    |                       |
| Information Need (15 points)   |          | manageable focus; recognizes existing information can be combined        |    |                       |
|                                |          | with original thought and/or analysis.                                   |    |                       |
|                                | С        | Identifies key concepts & terms that describe information need; usually  | 11 |                       |
|                                |          |  | 11 |                       |
|                                |          | defines & modifies information need to achieve manageable focus;         |    |                       |
| 2                              |          | usually recognizes existing information can be combined with original    |    |                       |
| . <u>e</u>                     |          | thought and/or analysis.   |    |                       |
| nat                            | D        | Doesn't always identify key concepts & terms that describes              | 9  |                       |
| ľ                              |          | information need; doesn't always define & modify information need to     |    |                       |
| ufc                            |          | achieve manageable focus; doesn't always recognize existing              |    |                       |
| _                              |          | information can be combined with original thought and/or analysis.       |    |                       |
|                                | F        | Fails to identify key concepts & terms that describes information need;  | 7  |                       |
|                                |          | fails to define & modify information need to achieve manageable          |    |                       |
|                                |          | focus; doesn't recognize existing information can be combined with       |    |                       |
|                                |          | original thought and/or analysis.  |    |                       |
|                                | Α        | Superior organization of content that always supports                    | 15 |                       |
|                                | '`       | product/presentation purposes & format; always integrates new &          | 13 |                       |
|                                |          |  |    |                       |
|                                |          | prior information (e.g., quotations & paraphrasings) that supports       |    |                       |
|                                |          | product/presentation purposes; consistently chooses communication        |    |                       |
|                                | _        | format best suited to product/presentation purposes.                     |    |                       |
|                                | В        | Exceptional organization of content that usually supports                | 13 |                       |
| S.)                            |          | product/presentation purposes & format; usually integrates new &         |    |                       |
| pt                             |          | prior information (e.g., quotations & paraphrasings) that supports       |    |                       |
| 15                             |          | product/presentation purposes; usually chooses communication             |    |                       |
| )<br>>                         |          | format best suited to product/presentation purposes.                     |    |                       |
| Information Literacy (15 pts.) | С        | Organization of content supports product/presentation purposes &         | 11 |                       |
| te                             |          | format; integrates new & prior information (e.g., quotations &           |    |                       |
| ا ر                            |          | paraphrasings) that supports product/presentation purposes; chooses      |    |                       |
| .5                             |          | communication format for product/presentation purposes.                  |    |                       |
| Tat                            | D        | Organization of content is unsatisfactory because it doesn't support     | 9  |                       |
| r                              |          | product/presentation purposes & format; doesn't integrate new &          |    |                       |
| nfc                            |          |  |    |                       |
| _                              |          | prior information (e.g., quotations & paraphrasings) that supports       |    |                       |
|                                |          | product/presentation purposes; doesn't choose communication format       |    |                       |
|                                |          | for product/presentation purposes.                                       |    |                       |
|                                | F        | Fails to organize content that supports product/presentation purposes    | 7  |                       |
|                                |          | & format; fails to integrate new & prior information (e.g., quotations & |    |                       |
|                                |          | paraphrasings); fails to choose communication format for                 |    |                       |
|                                |          | product/presentation purposes.   |    |                       |
|                                | Α        | Exceptional originality and thoughtfulness demonstrated in               | 15 |                       |
| ·                              |          | understanding the topic, approach to storytelling, and use of digital    |    |                       |
| ots.                           |          | technologies; reveals independent thinking about content and form.       |    |                       |
| 5 μ                            | В        | Good creativity and thoughtfulness exhibited in using digital            | 13 |                       |
| (1                             |          | technologies; original thinking shown rather than blind adherence to     | 13 |                       |
| on                             |          |  |    |                       |
| ਰ                              |          | Convention.  | 11 | -                     |
| Message Construction (15 pts.) | С        | Contains some strengths listed above, but also fails to exhibit enough   | 11 |                       |
|                                |          | independent thinking regarding the topic, technologies, content, or      |    |                       |
|                                |          | form.  |    |                       |
|                                | D        | Serious problems noted, including use of technology that reveals no      | 9  |                       |
|                                |          | creativity, and a reliance on outdated claims or conventions.            |    |                       |
|                                | F        | Reveals almost no creativity or independent thinking in terms of topic,  | 7  | ]                     |
|                                |          | understanding of topic, approach to storytelling, or use of              |    |                       |
|                                |          | technologies.  |    |                       |
| <u> </u>                       | ļ        | teemologics.   | ļ  | ļ                     |

Please cite as: Ball, T. C. (2016). The digital storytelling/8 key questions assignment. James Madison University.

| icase                              | cite as. | ball, 1. C. (2016). The digital storytelling/8 key questions assignment  | · Jannes | IVIGGISOTI | Offiversity. |
|------------------------------------|----------|--|----------|------------|--------------|
| ·                                  | A        | Outstanding production values, including high-quality video & audio,   | 15       |            |              |
| Presentation Design (15 pts.)      |          | carefully composed shots, compelling organization, thoughtful  |          |            |              |
|                                    |          | decisions about form & content; intelligent editing with no mistakes.  | 12       |            |              |
|                                    | В        | Clean, crisp video & audio; effective organization and solid editing that presents digital story clearly & creatively; reveals skill and care. | 13       |            | 3            |
| De                                 | С        | Contains some strengths listed above, but may also have problems,  | 11       |            |              |
| sentation                          |          | including low-quality video or audio; weak organization or poor editing.   |          |            |              |
|                                    | D        | Contains many obvious production flaws that at times it is difficult for a   | 9        |            |              |
|                                    |          | viewer to concentrate on content; does not reveal skill or care.   |          |            |              |
| Pre                                | F        | Clear and serious failings in the filming, organization, and/or editing  | 7        |            |              |
|                                    |          | that repeatedly frustrates the attempts of viewers to understand story.  |          |            |              |
|                                    | Α        | Delivery creates cohesive whole by strongly reinforcing purpose, structure, and  | 15       |            |              |
| S.)                                |          | complexity of presentation. Delivery creates dynamic and engaging  |          |            |              |
| pts                                |          | relationship with audience. Delivery features are used to enhance meaning creatively with polish and intention.                                |          |            |              |
| (15                                | В        | Meets all requirements for competent presentation delivery. Includes some  | 13       |            |              |
| Presentation Delivery (15 pts.)    | D        | exemplary characteristics.   |          |            |              |
| Jeli                               | С        | Delivery supports purpose, structure, and complexity of presentation. Delivery   | 11       |            |              |
| l L                                |          | features are appropriate, varied, and engaging. Delivery dimensions are used   |          |            |              |
| tio                                | _        | purposefully. Delivery meets assignment requirements.  |          |            |              |
| senta                              | D        | Meets some requirements for a satisfactory presentation. Moving toward competent.  | 9        |            |              |
| -Jre                               | F        | Delivery detracts from purpose, structure, and complexity of presentation.   | 7        |            |              |
|                                    |          | Delivery features are simplistic, unpolished, inappropriate, uncomfortable, or   |          |            |              |
|                                    | Α        | unnatural. Delivery does not meet assignment requirements.  Digital story communicates exceptionally deep understanding of topic;              | 15       |            |              |
|                                    | Α        | extraordinarily sensitive and illuminating — opens new ways of   | 13       |            |              |
| (:S:)                              |          | thinking about topic; sophisticated awareness of broader context and   |          |            |              |
| b pt                               |          | significance.  |          |            |              |
| ey Questions (15 pts.)             | В        | Strong, clear, and effective examination of topic; asks and addresses  | 13       | '          |              |
| ns                                 |          | vital and engaging questions; good awareness of context and  |          |            |              |
| stic                               |          | significance of topic.   |          |            |              |
| ine                                | С        | Digital story is competent but may also include unnecessary  | 11       |            |              |
| ν 0                                |          | information, not offer adequate details, or fails to examine topic   |          |            |              |
| ×                                  | _        | deeply and completely.   | _        |            |              |
| £ 8                                | D        | Superficial digital story that provides few fresh insights or relevant   | 9        |            |              |
| e of                               | _        | facts and offers an unexciting and predictable account of the topic.   | _        |            |              |
| Use                                | F        | Clear and serious failures in reporting and understanding that   | 7        |            |              |
|                                    |          | repeatedly show fundamental errors of comprehension and lack of  |          |            |              |
|                                    | Α        | critical thinking.  Outstanding individual contributions that include high levels of   | 10       |            |              |
|                                    | Α        | engagement in professor/peer conferences, positive evaluations from  | 10       |            |              |
| ts.)                               |          | group members, and evidence of leadership within group.  |          |            |              |
| 0 p                                | В        | Solid and effective contributions evident through professor/peer   | 8        |            |              |
| s (1                               |          | conferences, and peer evaluations; very involved and productive in   |          |            |              |
| ion                                |          | group.   |          |            |              |
| but                                | С        | Exhibits some strengths listed above, but also failed to demonstrate   | 6        | 1          |              |
| Individual Contributions (10 pts.) |          | full engagement in all parts of project; average involvement and   |          |            |              |
|                                    |          | productivity.  |          |            |              |
|                                    | D        | Exhibits serious weaknesses that included scant participation in   | 4        |            |              |
|                                    |          | professor/peer conferences, and poor peer evaluations.   |          |            |              |
|                                    | F        | Clear and serious failures that suggest absence of genuine participation   | 2        |            |              |
|                                    |          | in project and an inability to work with and contribute to success of  |          |            |              |
|                                    |          | group.   |          |            |              |

## **Pecha Kucha Presentation Rubric**

## Names:

## **Digital Story Topic:**

| 1.<br>•      | Introduction Captures attention Identifies clearly the issue to be shared Justifies relevance of the topic to the audience   | /10  |
|--------------|--|------|
| 2.<br>•<br>• | Content Presented relevant information in a clearly organized form Provided accurate comparisons Cited appropriate, credible sources (min. 2 sources per speaker) Appears well researched                              | /40  |
| 3.           | <b>Delivery</b> (see individual score sheets)  |      |
| 4.<br>•      | Conclusion Summarizes importance of topic Leaves audience with sense of closure  | /10  |
| 5.<br>•<br>• | Pecha Kucha Component Slides fit assignment; smoothly integrated Delivery and visuals do not interfere with message Team appears to have practiced All materials turned in   | /20  |
| 6.<br>•<br>• | Deductions Time (-2 points for every 10 seconds under 6:30 or over 7:00) Presentation unreasonably long or short Index cards contain banned content Lack of proofreading Grammatical and/or spelling errors in outline | /-20 |

Group Total /80

| Please cite as: Ball, T. C. (2016). | The digital storytelling/8 key questions assignment. | James Madison University. |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Name:                               |  |                           |

Group Presentation Score: /80 Your Total Score: /100

**Your Delivery Score** 

• Verbal /10

• Nonverbal /10

**Comments on Group Performance** 

**Comments on Individual Performance**