

Making Assessment Meaningful: What New Student Affairs Professionals and Those New to Assessment Need to Know

August 2011

Marilee J. Bresciani

For some professionals in higher education, assessment seems an onerous task or an “add on” to an already overloaded schedule. For others, assessment is a “mandate from on high”—coming with extreme expectations but not the resources to support them. Still others suspect assessment is a disguise for personnel evaluation or a subtle strategy to impose different values. While such trepidations at the prospect of assessment are common, implementing *meaningful* assessment can go far to allay them.

This brief from the National Institute for Learning Outcomes Assessment (NILOA) describes what assessment is intended to be, why the involvement of student affairs professionals in the assessment of student learning and development is critical, and how student affairs professionals can authentically engage in designing and assessing student learning on their campuses. When it is implemented by leveraging student affairs professionals’ inherent passionate concern for students’ holistic learning and development, assessment is experienced as truly integral to the nature of the work of student affairs professionals—fitting easily into their innate inquiry processes and day-to-day practices

Defining Assessment

Assessment begins with simply wondering whether what you do all day is contributing to what you hope your efforts can accomplish. Many student affairs professionals naturally inquire this way into whether their programs, interventions, curriculum designs, and services are accomplishing what they should. Often, however, because these natural inquiry processes are not organized or systematically implemented, they cannot connect to the larger context of accountability. More and more, campus leaders, the state, accreditors, and the public are asking us to justify the resources we are using and to show what value we are adding to the student experience (Ewell, 2009; Provezis, 2010). If we do not document what we discover about our programs in ways that are consistent and that others can interpret, we cannot demonstrate how we have used the resources entrusted to us.

Assessment, in essence, takes our natural curiosity about our work’s effectiveness and puts it in a systematic framework, where we explicitly articulate what we hope a student participating in a program will take away from the experience—the learning outcomes. Once we have described the learning outcomes, we design the program—using design tools like concept mapping, curriculum mapping, outcomes delivery mapping, or action planning—to best enable the student

to achieve these outcomes. Once we have in place a program based on the desired outcomes, we determine how best to capture—with carefully selected assessment tools and criteria—what the student actually learned or how the student developed. We then collect the assessment data and—through data analysis and interpretation—identify the implications and potential uses of these findings. Next, drawing on the findings, we make decisions and recommendations for revising and improving the program or the assessment design. If we have the necessary information, we can link these decisions and recommendations to the larger organizational values or strategic initiatives, thus, integrating the accountability of the program with that of the organization. Finally, for the ongoing implementation of assessment, we determine when the program should be reassessed to see whether our decisions and changes actually brought the desired improvements in learning outcomes.

An example from my own professional experience will further illustrate this systematic cycle of inquiry—assessment. I had the privilege of working with a team of student affairs professionals who were wondering whether the conflict resolution training they provided for residence hall advisors (RHAs) was working. The first step in the team’s inquiry was to clearly articulate what they thought the RHAs needed to know and be able to do as a result of participating in the training program. Before planning the entire training week, the team wrote the learning outcomes: a) to identify the steps of the conflict resolution model, b) to identify the strategies used in the conflict resolution model, c) to explain how and when to implement those strategies most effectively, and d) to critique and advise peers on improving their use of the model.

Next in their planning process, the team examined whether the training model they had been using actually provided opportunities for the RHAs to learn what was expected of them. Realizing they had not provided the RHAs an occasion for peer critique and feedback, they made changes to the training design to incorporate that learning opportunity as well as the chance to assess that learning. They then chose and designed assessment tools: a short quiz for outcomes a and b, case studies with a criteria checklist for outcome c, and a videotaped roleplay with a rubric for outcome d. Using these tools, they administered the assessment during the one-week training session for RHAs.

Once the week of training was complete, the team analyzed and interpreted the data gathered from the administration of the quizzes, criteria checklists, and rubrics. To help them make meaning out of their assessment findings, the team also consulted published research on this conflict resolution model. Realizing that the decisions needed to improve RHA student learning were well within their locus of control, the team refined the design of the training and of one of the rubrics to more effectively produce the kind of learning they really wanted to see in the RHAs—with the plan to gather the refined data during the next delivery of the training. Because this entire process was documented, the team could now confidently explain to their colleagues what the RHAs learned in the one-week conflict resolution training session and, with the same confidence, how they knew this. These student affairs professionals were demonstrating accountability through assessment.

This example illustrates student affairs professionals leveraging their natural inquiry about whether what they had designed was working as they expected it to work. I assisted only by putting their natural inquiry within a systematic framework so that it became organized and could be easily embedded in their day-to-day student affairs work. After participating in this process, rather than seeing assessment as an “add-on” or a “mandate from on high,” these professionals became engaged in building meaningful inquiry into their day-to-day doing. Furthermore, they could connect the conflict resolution training outcomes to the institution’s learning principles for civic engagement, allowing them to document their program’s contribution to the institution’s achievement of a key learning goal for all students.

How Campus Leadership Engages in Assessing Student Learning

The literature illustrates several examples of the fine ways in which campus leadership is engaged in assessing student learning and development (Banta & Associates, 2002; Banta, Jones, & Black, 2009; Bresciani, 2006; Bresciani, MooreGardner, & Hickmott, 2009a, 2009b; Kuh, Kinzie, Schuh, Whitt,

Assessment, in essence, takes our natural curiosity about our work’s effectiveness and puts it in a systematic framework, where we explicitly articulate what we hope a student participating in a program will take away from the experience—the learning outcomes.

& Associates, 2005, 2010; Kuh, Schuh, Whitt, & Associates, 1991; Schuh & Gansemer-Topf, 2010). These books and manuscripts provide excellent ideas and practical strategies, embedded in real life examples, for encouraging faculty, staff, parents, students, and community partners to become fully engaged in assessment. Some of these strategies need only a foundational knowledge of assessment to be implemented on your campus. Others are more sophisticated and may require you to become a little more experienced with assessment, in addition to engaging in collaborative conversations across departments and divisions.

While examining the examples in these books and manuscripts, I noted some similarities among them—characteristics that are also reflected, incidentally, in a hallmark document on assessment, *Nine Principles of Good Practice for Assessing Student Learning* (American Association for Higher Education, 1991). These characteristics, which you may find helpful when implementing assessment at your organization, are as follows:

1. *The focus of assessment is not on “assessment for assessment’s sake” but, rather, on exploring what students are learning and how they are developing in a manner producing evidence that can lead to decisions to improve learning.* Instead of conducting a survey, for instance, just to be able to say that assessment has been done, the examples in these documents use methods and tools that genuinely seek to discover what students are learning and how they are developing. Furthermore, they do so in a manner that contributes to discussions of how to use results to inform decisions that improve program design and, thus, improve student learning. If the assessment tool is a survey, as in the instance mentioned above, the survey is aligned directly with the outcomes it is assessing.
2. *Learning and development are recognized as dynamic processes in which learning needs to be purposefully facilitated and not just expected.* The student affairs professionals and faculty in these examples clearly recognize that behavior change does not occur in a one-hour workshop or during one class session. Therefore, that type of learning or development is not represented in the outcomes or assessment designs. Rather, consistent with the latest learning and development theory, assessment of specified varying levels of learning and development outcomes is aligned with systematically designed and facilitated learning experiences.
3. *The learning outcomes are clearly and succinctly stated.* The learning outcomes are specific, identifiable, and meaningful to the students, to professionals involved in the program, to the faculty with whom the professionals collaborate, and to the community members that the program is intended to serve.
4. *The program design is implemented in such a way that the outcomes should be met if participants engage in the program as the program designers intend.* This is the curriculum-mapping or action-planning portion of the project. Reflecting, as previously, the view of learning and development as dynamic, the purposeful design of the program specifies expectations for the achievement of articulated outcomes for which learning opportunities have been provided, rather than for the achievement of outcomes for which students were not provided opportunities to learn. This characteristic removes any arbitrary, unfounded expectation of learning and, instead, purposefully embeds within the design the means for realizing the intended learning outcomes.
5. *Because inquiry is ongoing, assessment—being nothing more than inquiry placed into a manageable framework—is an ongoing, organized process.* To stop questioning whether what we do is working as expected is to invite stagnation and a collapse in creativity and innovation. Without inquiry, learning program problems cannot be solved and student learning and development cannot improve. Without ongoing inquiry informing decisions and recommendations for continuous improvement, we cannot demonstrate our good stewardship of the resources we have been given to facilitate learning and development. Only with assessment can we demonstrate accountability.
6. *Assessment design processes and conversations for improving student learning and development are collaborative, involving people and resources across departments and divisions.* While some of what you do in the assessment process will be within your locus of control to improve, other improvements will require collaboration across organizational lines. Therefore, collaborating in the design of the outcomes, programs, assessment tools and criteria will not only garner collaborative ownership in the program’s success, it will promote collaborative discussion of the evidence that was jointly agreed upon to gather and, thus, collaboration on improving the program.

Collaborating in the design of the outcomes, programs, assessment tools and criteria will not only garner collaborative ownership in the program’s success, it will promote collaborative discussion of the evidence that was jointly agreed upon to gather and, thus, collaboration on improving the program.

7. *Students, parents, faculty, student affairs professionals, and community partners assess student learning and development that they really care about.* The notion that assessment is a mandate from on high has been expressed mostly either by those who do not recognize they are already engaged in an inquiry process but just do not document that inquiry or by those who simply refuse to engage in a systematic, documented assessment framework. While assessment has been required for regional accreditation purposes for decades, its true value is made known when people demonstrate they care about what they do by documenting what they learn about how to improve student learning and development. By implementing assessment in a manner that meaningfully examines what you and your constituents care about, you will reap the benefits of meaningful results that inform important decisions and that positively impact student learning and development. You will also advance meaningful conversations about accountability, with discussions based on evidence of real learning and development rather than based on indicators that have very little to do with student learning.
8. *Programs that are assessed align with larger institutional learning outcomes or strategic initiatives.* In the context of the growing demands to demonstrate the value of an associate's, bachelor's, or master's degree, the more student affairs professionals and faculty can align their outcomes with institutional learning outcomes and strategic initiatives, the more they can participate in this conversation. Furthermore, such alignment demonstrates the wide sphere of influence of student affairs professionals in enhancing learning and development. Finally, it connects student affairs professionals to conversations about how resources held at a higher level in the organization are allocated or reallocated.
9. *Evidence of student learning and development is shared in a manner that is transparent and understandable to those outside the profession.* The days of the famous inside joke that no one understands what student affairs professionals do are long over. The ability to demonstrate meaningful contributions to student learning and development in a manner that can be understood by those outside the profession creates powerful partnerships that are integral to the ongoing improvement of student learning and development and to the enhancement of the accountability conversation.

By implementing assessment in a manner that meaningfully examines what you and your constituents care about, you will reap the benefits of meaningful results that inform important decisions and that positively impact student learning and development.

The Importance of Student Affairs Professionals Involvement in Assessment

In the examples from the resources cited above, the characteristics illustrating how campus leadership is assessing student learning are important to consider as you move forward in implementing assessment within your organization. I would not be preparing you well, however, unless I also emphasized the need to connect your work as much as possible to the overall general learning principles and goals of your institution. This requires collaborative conversations with faculty and with other learning and development partners outside as well as within your organization.

As mentioned already, we are in an environment with growing demands that we provide evidence of exactly what constitutes an associate's degree, baccalaureate degree, and master's degree. In addition, there are increasing expectations that we explain the acceptable levels of learning within each of these degrees and how we arrive at those levels. Student affairs professionals know that learning and development are inextricably intertwined (American College Personnel Association, 1996). We can help explain why varying levels of learning for each degree level may well be exactly what is needed to educate an entire nation while ensuring that no one lacks an opportunity to learn. Without student affairs professionals at the table, the ongoing national conversation about enhancing learning could leave out a large number of students because their ways of learning and developing are not yet fully understood and are not accounted for in typical evaluations.

Now is the opportune time to embrace assessment of student learning and development in all of the student affairs programs for which it is applicable. It is a favorable time to engage in collaborative conversations where shared design of learning and the application of learning outside the classroom can be assessed. And it is the right time to involve new professionals in this conversation as well.

Benefits from Involvement in Assessment for Student Affairs Professionals

As a new student affairs professional in an exciting part of your career, you have creative energy and curiosity that can fuel the very essence of what assessment is designed to be. You bring to this endeavor the latest knowledge in learning and development theories as well as questions that can challenge current ways of doing with a welcome, fresh perspective. You will be starting your job in need of becoming aware of the organizational culture in which you work. The implementation of outcomes-based assessment provides you with a framework within which to respectfully and legitimately integrate your new ways of knowing and your enthusiastic inquiry with a sound and sustainable process for improving student learning and development. Outcomes-based assessment legitimizes the opportunity for you to question practices that may have been historically out of reach for new professionals. It is an empowering process of discovery and reinvention.

How to Learn More and Get Involved in Good Assessment Practice

This manuscript shares many resources, also listed in the reference section, that may be of value to new professionals. If you found this manuscript on the NILOA website (<http://www.learningoutcomeassessment.org/AboutUs.html>), you will likely continue to use NILOA as a resource and will find other helpful tools and resources there. In addition, the following organizations provide professional development in assessment for student affairs professionals and offer other books and materials to enhance your learning. Such organizations include NASPA— Student Affairs Administrators in Higher Education (<http://www.naspa.org>), the American College Personnel Association (ACPA) (<http://www2.myacpa.org>), the Association for the Assessment of Learning in Higher Education (AALHE) (<http://www.aalhe.org>), and the Council for the Advancement of Standards in Higher Education (CAS) (<http://www.cas.edu>).

The American Association of Colleges and Universities (AACU) (<http://www.AACU.org>) offers venues for collaborative discussions among student affairs and academic leaders and hosts opportunities to learn how to collaboratively design and improve student learning and development. The New Leadership Alliance for Student Learning and Accountability (<http://www.newleadershipalliance.org>) has developed a set of criteria and for evaluating organizations' practice of assessment of student learning and development. The Alliance also offers a certification process. Even if your organization is not a member of the Alliance, you may find their criteria helpful in designing your own assessment processes and in evaluating how well your organization is moving forward in demonstrating good assessment practice.

Professional associations such as the American Counseling Association (ACA), the Association of College Unions International (ACUI), the National Academic Advising Association (NACADA), the National Association for Campus Activities (NACA), the Association of International Educators (NASFA), and the National Orientation Directors Association (NODA), along with many others, can offer additional functional area support for the assessment of programs with residence life, auxiliary services, student activities, academic advising, career services, international student programming, and service learning—to name a just few program areas. Finally, the website “Internet Resources for Higher Education Outcomes Assessment” (<http://www2.acs.ncsu.edu/UPA/assmt/resource.htm>) offers resources on assessment tools, criteria, and examples of how other student affairs organizations conduct assessment.

Conclusion

This NILOA brief describes assessment as nothing more than the systematic implementation—in the day-to-day work you already do—of an innate inquiry process. When good practices in implementing assessment are used, student affairs professionals and those new to assessment can effectively and meaningfully contribute to designing and assessing student learning on their campuses. The involvement of student affairs professionals in the assessment of student learning

Outcomes-based assessment legitimizes the opportunity for you to question practices that may have been historically out of reach for new professionals. It is an empowering process of discovery and reinvention.

and development is critical—and the need for your involvement is urgent. As new student affairs professionals, you can leverage your inherent passion for high-quality, holistic student learning and development as well as strengthen the credibility of the issues you raise by helping your organization implement systematic assessment. Keeping in mind your organization’s culture while you gather evidence of the accomplishments and needs of programs, interventions, curricula, and services, you will find an open avenue for your meaningful contributions to the improvement of student learning and development.

References

- American Association for Higher Education. (1991). Nine principles of good practice for assessing student learning. Retrieved from <http://www.iuk.edu/~koctla/assessment/9principles.shtml>.
- American College Personnel Association. (1996). The student learning imperative: Implications for student affairs. Retrieved from <http://www.acpa.nche.edu/sli/sli.htm>
- Banta, T. W., & Associates. (2002). Building a scholarship of assessment. San Francisco, CA: Jossey Bass.
- Banta, T. W., Jones, E. A., & Black, K. E. (2009). Designing effective assessment: Principles and profiles of good practice. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Bresciani, M. J. (2006). Outcomes-based academic and co-curricular program review: A compilation of institutional good practices. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Bresciani, M. J., Moore-Gardner, M., & Hickmott, J. (Issue Eds.). (2009a, October). Case studies for implementing assessment in student affairs [Special Issue]. *New Directions for Student Services*, 2009(127).
- Bresciani, M. J., Moore-Gardner, M., & Hickmott, J. (2009b). Demonstrating student success: A practical guide to outcomes-based assessment of learning and development in student affairs. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Ewell, P. T. (2009, November). Assessment, accountability, and improvement: Revisiting the tension (NILOA Occasional Paper No. 1). Urbana, IL: University of Illinois and Indiana University, National Institute of Learning Outcomes Assessment. Retrieved from http://www.learningoutcomeassessment.org/documents/PeterEwell_005.pdf
- Kuh, G. D., Schuh, J. H., Whitt, E. J., & Associates. (1991, March). Involving colleges: Successful approaches to fostering student learning and development outside the classroom. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Kuh, G. D., Kinzie, J., Schuh, J. H., & Whitt, E. J. (2005, September). Assessing conditions to enhance educational effectiveness: The inventory for student engagement and success. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Kuh, G. D., Kinzie, J., Schuh, J. H., Whitt, E. J., & Associates. (2010). Student success that matters: Creating conditions that matter. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Provezis, S. (2010, October). Regional accreditation and student learning outcomes: Mapping the territory (NILOA Occasional Paper No. 5). Urbana, IL: University of Illinois and Indiana University, National Institute for Learning Outcomes Assessment. Retrieved from <http://www.learningoutcomeassessment.org/documents/Provezis.pdf>
- Schuh, J. H., & Gansemer-Topf, A. M. (2010, December). The role of student affairs in student learning assessment (NILOA Occasional Paper No. 7). Urbana, IL: University of Illinois and Indiana University, National Institute of Learning Outcomes Assessment. Retrieved from <http://www.learningoutcomeassessment.org/documents/StudentAffairsRole.pdf>



National Institute for Learning Outcomes Assessment

Please Cite As:

Bresciani, M. J. (2011, August). *Making assessment meaningful: What new student affairs professionals and those new to assessment need to know* (Assessment Brief). Urbana, IL: University of Illinois and Indiana University, National Institute for Learning Outcomes Assessment (NILOA).

Follow us on social media:



@NILOA_web



@LearningOutcomesAssessment

Sign up to receive our monthly **NILOA Newsletter** and stay up to date with our research and publications.



Assessment
Brief:

Student Affairs

www.learningoutcomesassessment.org